

Significance of umbilical coiling index in antenatal period and its association with perinatal outcome

Sonali Somani, TV Kishan, Sunita Sudhir, N Madhavi

Corresponding author: Dr Sonali Somani, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Kamineni Institutes of Medical Sciences, Telangana, India; Email - drsgsomani@gmail.com

Distributed under Attribution-Non Commercial – Share Alike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

ABSTRACT

Objective: To correlate umbilical coiling index (UCI) and perinatal outcome. **Material & methods:** Present prospective study was conducted on 582 antenatal mothers in second trimester with singleton live pregnancy in Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Nalgonda. During growth scan UCI was measured. UCI between 10th - 90th percentile was considered as normocoiled, less than 10th percentile as hypocoiled and more than 90th percentile as hypercoiled. Then UCI was correlated with maternal and neonatal outcome. **Results:** Mean UCI was 0.24 ± 0.78 coils per cms. Maximum were normocoiled in 59 %, 22.5% were hypocoiled and 18.5% were hypercoiled. Abnormal UCI was associated with pregnancy induced hypertension, abnormal partogram, increased operative delivery, low APGAR scores, more NICU admissions and low birth weight which were statistically significant (p value <0.05). **Conclusion:** Abnormal UCI is associated with adverse perinatal outcome. Therefore it is good tool for identifying fetus at risk and thereby improving perinatal outcome by appropriate intervention. As it is done during growth scan, there will be no additional economical burden to patient.

Keywords: Perinatal outcome, umbilical cord coiling index (UCI).

Ian Donald said that “baby’s life hangs by a cord”¹. Umbilical cord is the conduit from placenta to fetus. It contains vessels which carries oxygen and nutrients to fetus. Thus, it is vital in development, wellbeing and survival of fetus and yet, it is vulnerable to kinking, compressions and traction which may adversely affect perinatal outcome². Even its abnormal length is also associated with complications. Short cords are associated with a delay in the second stage of labour, irregular FHR, placental abruption, inversion of uterus, birth asphyxia¹. Long cords are associated with cord around the neck of fetus, its prolapse, torsion and true knot¹. A coil is defined as 360 spiral course of umbilical vessel around Wharton’s jelly³. It may be seen by ultrasonography as early as in first trimester⁴. The pattern of coiling develops during second and third trimesters. Cord length at birth, is about 50–60 cm⁵.

Umbilical cord coiling was first described by Berengarius

in 1521⁶, while it is first quantified by Edmonds as, Index of twist⁷. Later Strong et al⁸ named it as umbilical cord coiling index (UCI). It is defined as total number of coils divided by total length of cord in centimeters. It is classified into three groups⁹: <10th percentile - hypocoiled, 10th – 90th percentile - normocoiled; >90th percentile - hypercoiled. Complete cord occlusion is associated with fetal demise while intermittent obstruction is associated with fetal distress¹⁰. Therefore, present study was undertaken to study perinatal outcomes with abnormal UCI.

Materials and methods

Present study was conducted in Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Nalgonda district, in department of OBG with collaboration of department of Radiodiagnosis, from June 2018 to August 2021. It was a prospective study conducted on 582 pregnant women after obtaining institutional ethical clearance.

Received: 9th November 2021, Peer review completed: 4th April 2022, Accepted: 10th April 2023.

Somani S, Kishan TV, Sudhir S, Madhavi N. Significance of umbilical coiling index in antenatal period and its association with perinatal outcome. The New Indian Journal of OBGYN. 2024; 10(2): 357 - 60.

Inclusion criteria: Antenatal women at 28 weeks with singleton live fetus.

Exclusion criteria: Refusal for participation in study - Multiple pregnancy
Congenital anomalies

All patients were informed in detail about study and written consent was taken. A detailed obstetrics history was obtained. At the time of growth scan, coiling index was measured using 3.5 MHz transabdominal transducer. Longitudinal images of the umbilical cord which was freely floating in amniotic fluid was taken ¹¹. UCI was measured by using the formula -

$$UCI = \frac{\text{Total number of complete coils}}{\text{Total length of cord (cm)}}$$

Patients were divided into three groups - normocoil, hypocoil and hypercoil. Among 635 patients, there was difficulty in calculating the coiling index in 21 women due to inability to achieve appropriate imaging of umbilical cord, 32 women did not come to our institute for delivery.

Medical disorder complicating pregnancy, Intrapartum partogram and strict fetal heart rate (FHR) monitoring by intermittent auscultation was done. Abnormalities in FHR, meconium stained liquor and mode of delivery vaginal or cesarean, were noted. Neonatal factors like APGAR score, birth weight, admission to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) were noted.

Statistical analysis: All data was tabulated and analysed using SPSS version 18 and was analysed using Chi-Square test. P value <0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

In present study, mean length of umbilical cord was 54.1 ± 16.8 cms (table 1). Maximum were normocoiled in 59% (343), 22.5% (131) were hypocoiled and 18.5% (108) were hypercoiled. Increased age of mother was associated with abnormal UCI which was statistically significant (p < 0.05) (table 2).

Hypocoiling was associated with pregnancy induced hypertension and gestational diabetes mellitus which was statistically significant (p<0.05) (table 3). Abnormal UCI

Table 1: Umbilical coil characteristics (N =582)

Umbilical coil characteristics	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ± SD
Length (cms)	28	102	54.1 ± 16.8
Number of coils	4	34	31 ± 7.8
Umbilical coiling index (coil per cms)	0.14	0.34	0.24 ± 0.78

Table 2: Correlation of age wise distribution with umbilical cord coiling

Age (n= 582)	Umbilical coiling index (UCI)		
	Normocoiled (n=343)	Hypocoiled (n=131)	Hypercoiled (n=108)
21- 25 (n=98)	48 (48.9%)	45(45.9%)	5 (5.2%)
26 – 30 (n=335)	251 (74.9%)	21(6.2%)	63(18.8%)
30-35 (n=108)	36 (33.3%)	48 (44.4%)	24(22.8%)
36 – 40 (n=41)	8 (19.5%)	17(41.4%)	16(39%)
p value	>0.05	<0.05	<0.05

was associated with variability in fetal heart rate, which was statistically significant (p < 0.05) (table 4).

Out of 430 normal number of vaginal delivery, 34.9% had abnormal UCI. Out of 152 number of caesarean section, 58.6% had abnormal UCI (table 5). Abnormal umbilical cord coiling index was associated with meconium staining, low

Table 3: Association between medical disorders complicating pregnancy and umbilical cord coiling index

Medical disorders complicating pregnancy (n=219)	Umbilical coiling index(UCI)			p value
	Out of normocoiled (n=343) , 87 with medical disorders	Out of hypocoiled (n=131), 94 with medical disorders	Out of hypercoiled (n=108), 38 with medical disorders	
Pregnancy induced hypertension (n=69)	9 (10.3%)	43 (43.9%)	17 (44.7%)	<.05
Gestational diabetes mellitus (n=47)	8 (9.2%)	29 (30.9%)	10 (26.3%)	<.05
Anemia (n=53)	39 (44.8%)	9 (9.6%)	5 (13.2%)	>.05
Cardiac disease (n=17)	9 (10.3%)	5 (5.3%)	3 (7.9%)	>.05
Hypothyroid (n=26)	17 (19.5%)	7 (7.4%)	2 (5.3%)	>.05
Epilepsy (n=7)	5 (5.7%)	1 (1.1%)	1 (2.6%)	>.05

APGAR scores at 1min (<7), more NICU admissions and low birth weight. This was statistically significant (p <0.05) (table 6).

Table 4: Distribution of patients according to variation in fetal heart rate

Umbilical coiling index (UCI)	Fetal heart rate	
	Normal(n=472)	Abnormal (n=110)
Normocoiled (n=343)	282 (59.7%)	61 (55.5%)
Hypocoiled (n=131)	89 (18.6%)	42 (38.2%)
Hypercoiled (n=108)	101 (21.4%)	7 (6.4%)

Table 5: Associated between UCI and mode of delivery

Umbilical coiling index (UCI)	Mode of delivery	
	Vaginal delivery (n=430)	Caesarean section (n=152)
Normocoiled (n=343)	280 (65.1%)	63 (41.4%)
Hypocoiled(n=131)	85(19.8%)	46 (30.3%)
Hypercoiled (n=108)	65 (15.1%)	43 (28.3%)
p < 0.05		

Table 6: Correlation of perinatal outcome with umbilical cord coiling index (UCI)

Perinatal outcomes	Umbilical cord coiling index (UCI)		
	Normocoiled (n=343)	Hypocoiled (n=131)	Hypercoiled (n=108)
1. Meconium staining			
Yes	108 (31.5%)	87 (66.4%)*	67 (62%)*
No	235 (68.5%)	44 (33.6%)	41 (38%)
2. APGAR score at 1 min			
<7	50 (14.6%)	81 (61.8%)*	61 (56.5%)*
>7	241 (70.3%)	50 (38.2%)	47 (43.5%)
3. NICU admission			
Yes	95 (27.7%)	69 (52.7%)*	48 (44.4%)*
No	248 (72.3%)	62 (47.3%)	60 (55.6%)
4. Birth weight			
Normal birth weight	69 (20.1%)	57 (43.5%)	47 (43.5%)
Low birth weight	274 (79.9%)	74 (56.5%)*	61 (56.5%)*

*p<0.5

Discussion

Mean length of umbilical cord of present study was similar to study by Padmanabhan LD et al² and Biradar A et al³. Mean number of coils per umbilical cord was similar to study by Biradar A et al³ and Chitra Tet al⁴. Mean UCI was similar to other studies^{2,4,6,8,9,12}.

Percentage of normocoiled, hypocoiled and hypercoiled were similar to study done by Padmanabhan LD et al², Biradar A et al and Najarajan G et al¹⁰. Association between increased age of mother and abnormal UCI is similar to results quoted by Chitra Tet al⁴, Najarajan G et al¹⁰ and Ezimokhai M et al¹³. Association of hypocoiling with pregnancy induced hypertension and gestational diabetes mellitus are in agreement with other studies^{3,6,10,13-16}.

The coiled umbilical cord, because of its elastic properties, is able to resist external forces that might compromise its vascular flow. This explains association of hypocoiling in preeclampsia. According to Edmond's hypothesis⁷ twist of umbilical cord is due to rotary movement of fetus and therefore coiling will be directly proportional to amount of amniotic fluid.

Association of abnormal UCI and variability in fetal heart rate and therefore caesarean section of present study is similar with several authors^{2,3,8,10,16}. Hypocoiled and hypercoiled cords are less flexible and more prone to kinking and cord complication and fetal distress. Abnormal umbilical cord coiling index was associated with meconium staining, low APGAR scores at 1min (< 7), more NICU admissions and low birth weight. This result was in agreement with the results of previous studies^{2,3,6,8,10,14,18,19}.

Hypocoiling may cause kinking and compression whereas, hypercoiling may cause kinking, torsion of cord

and occlusion in cord around neck. Thus, they interfere in fetoplacental circulation in turn low birth weight¹⁹ and low APGAR score.

Limitation of the study: It was done in a single hospital therefore sample may not be representative of all Indian women. Therefore, we recommend a cross-sectional multicentric study.

Future scope: As abnormal UCI is associated with pre-eclampsia; further studies can be done to predict pre-eclampsia with UCI.

Conclusion

Abnormal UCI is associated with adverse perinatal outcome. Therefore it is good tool for identifying fetus at risk and thereby improving perinatal outcome by appropriate intervention. As it is done during growth scan, there will be no additional economical burden to patient.

Acknowledgement: We express gratitude to all patients. We are grateful to authors, editors and publishers of all those articles, journals from where literature has been reviewed and discussed.

Conflict of interest: None. **Disclaimer:** Nil.

References

- Misra R, editor. Ian Donald's Practical obstetric problems. Gurugram: Wolters Kluwer; 1994: p.417.
- Padmanabhan LD, Mhaskar R, Mhaskar A. Umbilical vascular coiling and the perinatal outcome. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2001; 51(6): 43-4.
- Biradar A, Kori S, Patil N, Mudanur SR. Umbilical coiling index and its association with perinatal mortality and morbidity in a low resource tertiary care hospital of northern Karnataka - a prospective observational study. The New Indian Journal of OBGYN. 2020; 7(1): 10-5.
- Chitra T, Sushanth YS, Raghavan S. Umbilical Coiling Index as a Marker of Perinatal Outcome: An Analytical Study. Obstet Gynecol Int. 2012; 12: 213.
- Wright D, Chan GM. Fetal bone strength and umbilical cord length. Journal of Perinatology. 2009; 29: 603-5.
- Gupta S, Faridi MMA, Krishnan J. Umbilical Coiling Index. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2006; 56(4): 315-9.
- Edmonds HW. The spiral twist of normal umbilical cords in twins and singleton. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1954; 67:102-20.
- Strong TH, Jarles DL, Vega JS, Feldman DB. The umbilical coiling index. Am J Obst Gynecol. 1994;170(1): 29-32.

9. Rana J, Ebert GA, Kappy KA. Adverse perinatal outcome in patients with an abnormal umbilical coiling index. *Obst Gynaecol.* 1995; 85(4): 573-77.
10. Najarajan G, Sundaram SP, Radhakrishnan S, Allirathnam. Evaluation of Umbilical Cord Coiling Index in late second trimester and its association with Perinatal Outcome. *Indian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Research.* 2016; 3(3): 234-38.
11. Degani S, Lewinsky RM, Berger H, Spiegel D. Sonographic estimation of umbilical coiling index and correlation with Doppler flow characteristics. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1995; 86: 990-93.
12. Patil NS, Kulkarni SR, Lohitashwa R. Umbilical Cord Coiling Index and Perinatal Outcome. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2013; 7(8): 1675-77.
13. Ezimokhai M, Rizk DEE, Thomas L. Maternal risk factors for abnormal vascular coiling of the umbilical cord. *American Journal of Perinatology.* 2000; 17(8): 441-46.
14. Machin GA, Ackermann J, Gilbert-Barnes E. Abnormal umbilical cord coiling is associated with adverse perinatal outcomes. *Pediatric and Developmental Pathology.* 2000; 3(5): 462-71.
15. Kashanian M, Akbarian A, Kouhpayehzadeh J. The umbilical coiling index and adverse perinatal outcome. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics.* 2006; 95: 8-13.
16. de Laat MWM, Franx A, Van Alderen ED, Nikkels PGJ, Visser GHA. The umbilical coiling index, a review of the literature. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine.* 2005; 17(2): 93-100.
17. Balkawade N, Shinde M. Study of Length of Umbilical Cord and Fetal Outcome: A Study of 1,000 Deliveries. *J Obstet Gynaecol India.* 2012; 62(5): 520-25.
18. de Laat MWM, Franx A, Bots ML, Visser GHA, Nikkels PGJ. Umbilical coiling index in normal and complicated pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006; 107(5): 1049-55.
19. Devaru D, Thusoo M. Umbilical Coiling Index & the Perinatal Outcome. *Obstet Gynaecol India.* 2012 ; 62(1): 43-6.

**Sonali Somani¹, TV Kishan², Sunita Sudhir³,
N Madhavi⁴**

¹ Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Kamineni Institutes of Medical Sciences, Telangana, India; ² Associate Professor, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Kamineni Institutes of Medical Sciences, Telangana, India; ³ Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Kamineni Institutes of Medical Sciences, Telangana, India; ⁴ Professor & HOD, Department Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Kamineni Institutes of Medical Sciences, Telangana, India.